

9

AD A 0 481

## AIR FORCE

2

DEVELOPMENT OF A SCREENING METHODOLOGY FOR ENTRY INTO MEDICAL TECHNICAL TRAINING COURSES

By

Sandra A. Leisey Nancy Guinn

PERSONNEL RESEARCH DIVISION Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235

August 1977 Final Report for Period October 1975 — April 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DDC

PROFINITE

JAN 9 1978

TELEVETULE

LABORATORY

O. FILE COPY

RESOURCES

BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78235

#### NOTICE

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This final report was submitted by Personnel Research Division, under project AMDS, with HQ Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC), Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235. Sr.A. Sandra A. Leisey, Demographic and Attitudinal Research Branch, was the principal investigator.

This report has been reviewed and cleared for open publication and/or public release by the appropriate Office of Information (OI) in accordance with AFR 190-17 and DoDD 5230.9. There is no objection to unlimited distribution of this report to the public at large, or by DDC to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

LELAND D. BROKAW, Technical Director Personnel Research Division

DAN D. FULGHAM, Colonel, USAF Commander



	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
AFHRLTR-77-49	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	TYPE OF SECOND A PERSON SOVERED
DEVELOPMENT OF A SCREENING METHODOLOGY FOR	9 Final rept.
ENTRY INTO MEDICAL TECHNICAL TRAINING	Oct 75 - Apr 77,
COURSES .	S. PERFORMING ONG. REPOPT NUMBER
· ALLTHORAGE	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Sandra, A/Leisey /	
Nancy/Guinn	
	10 BROCKAN FI FMENT BROJECT TARK
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Personnel Research Division	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT HUMBERS
Air Force Human Resources Laboratory	62703F
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235	AMDS1000
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
HQ Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC)	Aug 77
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235	- NORBEN OF THES
	30 (12 12 X D)
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office	
	Unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	SCHEDULE
S. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
17 AM TO (12/1 Ch)	
16) HM DS (///LU)	
	t from Report)
	t from Report)
7. DIS RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Monte entered in Block 20, if different	404 415
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	494 415
7. DIS RIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Monte entered in Block 20, if different	494 415
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	4¢4 415
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the shortest entered in Block 20, if different and supplies the shortest	494 415  WH ROMESTICAL  Declared a Grid Active  Declar
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the about a entered in Block 20, if different as a supplementary notes  WR 5778  S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num aptitude tests remedial training the supplementary in the supplementary and identify by block num aptitude tests	HOW HORSESSON AND STORY CONTRACTORY CONTRACTORY
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the about a entered in Block 20, if different as a supplementary notes  WR 5778  S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num aptitude tests remedial training selection me intelligence tests	ber) of the line of the thought of t
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the section and in Block 20, if different a	ber) of the line of the thought of t
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the about a entered in Block 20, if different as a supplementary notes  WR 5778  S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block num aptitude tests remedial training selection me intelligence tests	ber) of the line of the thought of t
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the about a entered in Block 20, if different and the state of the about a state of the state of th	thodology authorities and auth
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  WR 5778  9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block numerity in the intelligence tests attrition selection me intelligence tests training physiological reading ability tests  O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block numerity in the intelligence tests to the intelligenc	thodology and the feasibility of device ing a
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  WR 5778  9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numeritation selection me training physiological reading ability tests  O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numeritation selection methodology for entry into three medical technical refined selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendation of the selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendation in the selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendation of the selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendation in the selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and selection methodology for entry into three medical technical recommendations and sel	thodology and the feasibility of devices and a training course. Aeromedical specialist
RIBUTION SEATEMENT (of the shortest antered in Block 20, if different and the shortest and in Block 20, if different and in Bl	cets  and training courses: Aeromedical Specialist tining Specialist (91130). A sample of 1,003
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  WR 5778  9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block num aptitude tests remedial training training physiological reading ability tests  O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number of the physiological reading ability tests  O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number of the physiological reading ability tests  O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number of the physiological results are remedical technical (90130), Environment Health Specialist (90730), and Physiological Trastudents who entered these courses during the 1973—1975 time period in the period of the p	ber)  ning thodology  and the feasibility of devices and training courses: Aeromedical Specialist  aning Specialist (91130). A sample of 1,003 were administered three commercial tests by
WR 5778  S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number intelligence tests physiological reading ability tests  O. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the light of the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the light of the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the light of the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in the continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number in th	cets  and training courses: Aeromedicar specialist training Specialist (91130). A sample of 1,003 were administered three commercial tests by raphical data were retrieved from historical

Unclassified

#### PREFACE

The research reported in this study was accomplished under project AMDS, Personnel Selection and Retention for Optimal Productivity; task AMDS10, AMD Attrition Study.

Special appreciation is expressed to Dr. L.N. Krommings, USAF School of Aviation Medicine (USAFSAM/EDS) for his assistance in providing data needed to accomplish analyses for this project.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

L	Introduction	Page 5
•		
II.	Method	5
III.	Results and Discussion	7
IV.	Conclusions and Recommendations	13
Refe	rences	15
Appe	endix A: Statistical Analyses	17
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table		-
1	Sample Population	6
2	List of Variables	6
3	Multiple Correlations	8
4	Summary of Regression Analysis	9
5	Regression Weights for Total Sample Model 1	10
6	Regression Weights for 90130 Sample Model 1	11
7	Regression Weights for 90730 Sample Model 1	12
8	Regression Weights for 91130 Sample Model 1	13
9	Personal Data Sheet	14
10	Computation of Predicted Score on Test I for Course 90730	15
A1	Test for Homogeneity of Regression Equations	18
A2	Means and Standard Deviations	19
A3	Correlation Matrix - Total Sample	20
A4	Correlation Matrix - Course 90130	22
A5	Correlation Matrix - Course 90730	24
A6	Correlation Matrix - Course 91130	26

Preceding Page BLANK - FILMED

## DEVELOPMENT OF A SCREENING METHODOLOGY FOR ENTRY INTO MEDICAL TECHNICAL TRAINING COURSES

#### L INTRODUCTION

Every selection and classification program has one major objective: to identify personnel who are most likely to succeed on the job. The Air Force is no exception. The stringent budgetary limitations imposed by Congress on military speading have called for more attention and emphasis than ever before to be placed on the armed services to find ways of maximizing the return on their training investment. Hiring or training personnel who will later terminate employment or training as a result of unsuccessful or non-adaptive performance represents a cost which might be minimized if more precise procedures could be developed to identify those individuals not likely to succeed at a later date.

Over the past few years, management personnel associated with technical training in the USAF School of Aviation Medicine (SAM), Brooks AFB, Texas, have noted a gradual rise in attrition rates. As a result, course managers have voiced their concern over the magnitude of the attrition rate in certain courses and have indicated a need to explore ways to reduce this rate by the development of refined selection procedures. At the request of USAF/SAM, a project was initiated to evaluate the current screening process used for entry into three medical technical training courses: 90130, Aeromedical Specialist; 90730, Environmental Health Specialist; and 91130, Physiological Training Specialist. The operational aptitude prerequisite for these courses is a minimum percentile score of 60 on the General Aptitude Index of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB). In addition to this initial screening, three additional commercial tests are being administered to all student trainees by USAF/SAM personnel on an experimental basis.

The primary purpose of this investigation was to develop a screening methodology which would identify potential failures and/or students requiring remedial training. It was anticipated that improved screening would result in a lower attrition rate where students not likely to succeed in training could be diverted to other specialty areas and/or students with learning problems might be identified for remedial training in an

early enough phase of the training program to prevent academic failures. Specific objectives of the study were (a) to assess the value of the experimental screening used by USAF/SAM, and (b) to determine whether additional background and aptitudinal data might increase the effectiveness of the screening procedure.

#### IL METHOD

The sample population originally consisted of 1,200 students who entered courses 5ABY90130, Aeromedical Specialist; 5ABY90730, Environmental Health Specialist; and 5ABY91130, Physiological Training Specialist, during the 1973–1975 time period. Class rosters containing experimental test scores and criterion data on technical training performance were furnished by USAF/SAM. Criterion data in technical training included type of eliminee, phase test scores, and final school grade (FSG).

Experimental tests administered by USAF/SAM personnel to entering students provided a deviation intelligence quotient score (IQ) from the Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test (Otis & Lennon, 1967), vocabulary score (VOCAB) from the Word Clue Test (Taylor, Franchenpohl & McDonald, 1962), and two reading ability scores, words per minute (WPM) and comprehension (COMP) from the Appraisal of Reading Versatility-Advanced Test (McDonald & Zimmey, 1962). Scores from these tests are used to identify students with academic problems and/or potential failures.

Identification data, test scores, and criterion data from the class rosters were keypunched and match/merged with historical data tape files (maintained by the Computational Sciences Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory [AFHRL] Brooks AFB, Texas) to obtain additional aptitudinal and biographical data on each trainee.

In combining the two data files, it was found that test scores were missing for 197 cases. These cases were dropped from the total sample reducing the total number of cases to 1,003 (see Table 1).

Table 1. Sample Population

Course	Graduates	Aced	Med	SIE	Total
90130	338	17	5	4	364
90730	296	26	2	4	328
91130	273	22	14	2	311
Total	907	65	21	10	1,003

The use of a pass/fail criterion in the analyses was difficult due to the small number of eliminees in each course. Adding to the difficulty was the fact that eliminees were not a homogeneous group since the eliminee group contained three main types: academic (ACAD), medical (MED), and self-initiated (SIE). To alleviate the criterion problem, other performance criteria were

generated for analysis purposes. These criterion variables included Test Grade I, FSG, and Test Grades I and II Composite in addition to the pass/fail criterion.

A description of predictor and criterion variables is contained in Table 2.

Multiple linear regression analyses (Bottenberg & Ward, 1963) were used to determine whether the USAF/SAM tests and/or AFHRL data made an unique and significant contribution to the prediction of performance in medical technical training courses. Analysis was accomplished on both the total sample and each of the individual course samples. Due to the small number of student eliminees and the non-homogeneity of regression equations among the individual courses (see Table A1), cross-application of regression weights to another sample was not accomplished to determine the stability of the predictor composites.

Table 2. List of Variables

Variable Number	Variable Names	Type Variable	Oscription
Predictor	Variables		The second of th
1	Deviation IQ Score	Continuous	Derived from Otis-Lennon Mental Ability Test; direct measure of scholastic success and general mental ability
2	WPM score	Continuous	Derived from Appraisal of Reading Versatility- Advanced Test; a word-per-minute rate of reading
3	COMP score	Continuous	Derived from Appraisal of Reading Versatility- Advanced Test; a measure of comprehension in reading ability
4	VOCAB score	Continuous	Derived from Word Clue Test; a measure of functional vocabulary
5	AFQT score	Continuous	Percentile score derived from the Armed Forces Qualification Test/ASVAB; a measure of general mental ability
6	Mechanical AI	Continuous	Percentile score derived from ASVAB subtests to form Mechanical Aptitude Index
7	Administrative AI	Continuous	Percentile score derived from ASVAB subtests to form Administrative Aptitude Index
8	General AI	Continuous	Percentile score derived from ASVAB subtests to form General Aptitude Index
9	Electronics AI	Continuous	Percentile score derived from ASVAB subtests to form Electronics Aptitude Index
10	Years of education	Continuous	Number of years of edcuation completed
11	AFSC Preferred	Categorical	Indicates whether trainee designated medical career field as preferred AFSC

Table 2 (Continued)

Variable Number	Variable Names	Type Variable	Description
12	GAFSC	Categorical	Indicates whether medical career field was a guaranteed AFSC for trainee
13	Biology		
14	Chemistry		
15	Algebra		
16	General mathematics	Categorical	Indicates whether trainee completed this course in high
17	General science		school
18	Geometry		
19	Physics		
20	Anatomy/physiology		
21	Age	Continuous	Age of student trainee at time of entry into training
22	TAFMS	Continuous	Number of years of total active military service at time of entry into training
Criterion	Variables		
1	Pass/fail	Categorical	Final disposition from technical training
2	Test Grade I	Continuous	Numerical grade on first test in technical training course (Note: Students not taking first test assigned a grade of 0)
3	Final Average	Continuous	Score derived from summation of all test scores divided by the number of tests given in each course
4	Test Grades I and II	Continuous	Combined scores of Tests I and II divided by 2

#### IIL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three models were developed from the predictor variables. Model 1 contained the commercial tests administered by USAF/SAM and all aptitudinal and biographical data available from AFHRL files. Model 2 contained only the commercial tests used by USAF/SAM in their screening process. Model 3 was comprised of the data available from AFHRL files only.

Results of the first regression analysis indicated that all models, in the total sample and in the individual course samples, significantly predicted all criteria. The multiple correlations derived from the individual models are contained in Table 3. Means, standard deviations, and correlation matrices are contained in Tables A2 through A6 in Appendix A. Based on these findings, it appears that a combination of commercial test scores and AFHRL data or one of the components alone could be used effectively to predict probable success in technical training.

The next regression analysis investigated the possibility of eliminating the commercial tests

from the predictor system (see Table 4). In developing a selection model for practical utility, it is necessary to consider potential savings which may result in identifying and rejecting potential eliminees, as well as the additional cost in time and money used in implementing the proposed screening system. Using three commercial tests in addition to the operational test and biographical data which are readily available on each trainee would increase the overall cost of an operational screening methodology. The use of AFHRL biographical and aptitudinal data alone would eliminate the administration of the three commercial tests, if such data were as effective as a composite which included the commercial tests.

A comparison of Models 1 and 3 indicated that the commercial tests added significantly to the experimental predictor composites in all samples with one exception. The commercial tests failed to make a unique and significant contribution to the prediction of final average in Course 91130, over and above the AFHRL biographical and aptitudinal data. Although the use of commercial tests represent an additional cost to the Air Force's

Table 3. Multiple Correlations

Sample	Model <sup>a</sup>	Pass/Fall	Test I	Tests I & II	FSG
Total	1	.3525**	.4808**	.4731**	.4417**
	2	.3163**	.4345**	.4233**	.3930**
	3	.2659**	.4037**	.4085**	.3764**
90130	1	.3699**	.5491**	.5398**	.5075**
	2	.2690**	.4796**	.4601**	.4274**
	3	.3250**	4897**	.4548**	.4891**
90730	1 1	.4923**	.6239**	.6383**	.6243**
	2	.4097**	.5741**	.5866**	.5713**
	3	.3899**	.5126**	.5385**	.5148**
91130	1	.3848**	.4275**	.4073**	.4084**
	2	.2955**	.3250**	.3047**	.275?**
	3	.3077*	.3856**	.3706**	.3833**

 $<sup>^{0}</sup>$ Model 1 – contains commercial test scores, aptitudinal scores, and biographical data; predictor variables 1 – 22 (see Table 2).

Model 2 – contains commercial test scores only; predictor variables 1 – 4 (see Table 2).

Model 3 — contains aptitudinal scores and biographical data only; predictor variables 5 — 22 (see Table 2).

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significant at .01 level.

Table 4. Summary of Regression Analysis

			R <sup>2</sup>		df <sub>1</sub>	
Criterion	Comparison <sup>a</sup>	Full Model	Restricted Model	df		
The second second		Tota	d Sample	lace Its		t works he
Pass/Fail	Model 1 vs 2	.1243	.1000	18	980	1.5050
	Model 1 vs 3	.1243	.0707	4	980	14.9847**
Test I	Model 1 vs 2	.2312	.1888	18	980	3.0059**
	Model 1 vs 3	.2312	.1629	4	980	21.7523**
Tests I & II	Model 1 vs 2	.2239	.1792	18	980	3.1328**
	Model 1 vs 3	.2239	.1668	4	980	18.0012**
Final Average	Model 1 vs 2	.1951	.1545	18	980	2.7473**
	Model 1 vs 3	.1951	.1417	4	980	16.2449**
		901307	Total Sample			
Pass/Fail	Model 1 vs 2	.1369	.0724	18	341	1.4152
	Model 1 vs 3	.1369	.1056	4	341	3.0853**
Test I	Model 1 vs 2	.3015	.2300	18	341	1.9382**
	Model 1 vs 3	.3015	.2399	4	341	7.5216**
Tests I & II	Model 1 vs 2	.2914	.2117	18	341	2.1295**
	Model 1 vs 3	.2914	.2392	4	341	6.2754**
Final Average	Model 1 vs 2	.2575	.1827	18	341	1.9090**
	Model 1 vs 3	.2575	.2068	4	341	5.8203**
		90730 7	Total Sample			
Pass/Fail	Model 1 vs 2	.2423	.1678	18	305	1.6655*
	Model 1 vs 3	.2423	.1520	4	305	9.0873**
Test I	Model 1 vs 2	.3892	.3296	18	305	1.6526*
	Model 1 vs 3	.3892	.2627	4	305	15.7914**
Tests I & II	Model 1 vs 2	.4075	.3440	18	305	1.8143*
	Model 1 vs 3	.4075	.2900	4	305	15.1201**
Final Average	Model 1 vs 2	.3898	.3263	18	305	1.7612*
	Model 1 vs 3	.3898	.2650	4	305	15.5909**
		91130	Total Sample			
Pass/Fail	Model 1 vs 2	.1481	.0873	18	288	1.1412
	Model 1 vs 3	.1481	.0947	4	288	4.5138**
Test I	Model 1 vs 2	.1827	.1057	18	288	1.5089
	Model 1 vs 3	.1827	.1487	4	288	3.0002*
Tests I & II	Model 1 vs 2	.1659	.0929	18	288	1.4003
	Model 1 vs 3	.1659	.1373	4	288	2.4650*
Final Average	Model 1 vs 2	.1668	.0760	18	288	1.7437*
	Model 1 vs 3	.1668	.1469	4	288	1.7178

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Model 1  $\stackrel{\cdot}{-}$  contains commercial test scores, aptitudinal scores and biographical data; predictor variables 1 - 22 (see Table 2).

Model 2 — contains commercial test scores only; predictor variables 1 — 4 (see Table 2).

Model 3 — contains aptitudinal scores and biographical data only; predictor variables 5 — 22 (see Table 2).

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at .05 level.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significant at .01 level.

operational selection program, results indicate that eliminating these tests would significantly decrease the predictive accuracy of the selector composites.

Model 1 versus Model 2 comparisons indicated that the contribution of the AFHRL data, over and above the commercial tests, was not universally found to be significant. For the pass/ fail criterion, only in Course 90730 did the AFHRL data make a unique and significant contribution. In the 91130 sample, these data added significantly only in the prediction of final average. Although the utility of the AFHRL data was not confirmed in every course with all criteria, it should be noted that such data (which are easily retrievable or accessible on every student trainee) can be used with little or no additional cost to the Air Force. Based on these findings, it appears that the most effective predictor composite for all criteria is Model 1, which contains both the commercial tests and AFHRL data. It is realized that the magnitude of the obtained multiple correlations for Model 1 will most likely decrease upon cross-application of regression weights to another sample; however, it should also be noted that the correlation obtained on a sample population previously screened by the ASVAB is somewhat

lower than if it had been computed on an unrestricted population.

The use of this screening technique in identifying high-risk personnel for potential elimination from training is minimally effective. The relatively low attrition rate actually experienced in these medical courses makes identification of potential failures difficult. Using Model 1 selector composite, 16% of the eliminees in the total sample would have been correctly identified. For the individual course, the percentage of eliminees correctly identified varies between a low of 8% for the 90130 course to a high of 34% in the 90730 training program. It appears that the predictor composite can be used more advantageously in identifying personnel who might require remedial training. Remedial training is an integral part of the USAF/SAM medical training program, and identification of those individuals requiring additional academic instruction at an early stage in their training program should maximize the benefit to be derived from the remedial training received. An individual's potential in training can be assessed by using one or more of the grade criteria. Using the regression weights, shown in Tables 5 through 8, a trainee's probable need for remedial training can be estimated.

Table 5. Regression Weights for Total Sample Model 1

	Biographical/Aptitudinal		Regress	ion Weights	
Variable Number	Information Variables	Pass/Fail	Test I	Tests I & II	FSG
1	IQ	.004815	.331782	.269605	.243006
2	WPM	000005	.000377	000502	003699
3	Comprehension	.002340	.046064	.064137	.059578
4	Vocabulary	.001347	.802088	.589024	.557036
5	AFQT	.000261	.027838	.021773	.023169
6	Mechanical AI	.000410	.047730	.035129	.051630
7	Administrative AI	001051	027292	038142	025342
8	General AI	007200	000749	.037564	001203
9	Electronics AI	.000815	.018874	.059541	.027950
10	Years of Education	.011811	.969234	.940046	.514144
11	AFSC	.031318	1.394792	.801102	.474468
12	Guaranteed AFSC	.060458	3.745162	4.251922	4.277685
13	Biology	.000603	1.864761	1.067767	1.398997
14	Chemistry	007098	.627298	.573022	.574300
15	Algebra	.043998	1.109925	1.296278	1.351915
16	General Mathematics	.049632	-5.821246	-3.902253	-2.513692
17	General Science	074523	-2.403064	-3.445147	-2.818092
18	Geometry	.006833	.968783	.271117	.392890
19	Physics	029725	-1.028794	-1.551386	-1.735580
20	Anatomy/Physiology	000441	.761875	1.464730	1.336250
21	Age (at entry into Training)	.000432	.132763	.194523	.232112
22	TAFMS	.015591	1.216459	.663407	.529880
	Regression Constant	.058759	21.869978	25.804687	35.708523
	Optimal cutoff score	.670	70	140	70

Table 6. Regression Weights for 90130 Sample Model 1

	Biographical/Aptitudinal	Regression Weights					
Variable Number	Information Variables	Pass/Fall	Test I	Tests I & II	FSG		
1	IQ	.002708	.304514	.245871	.193759		
2	WPM	000066	003763	002561	006540		
3	Comprehension	.001767	.016611	.053891	.059242		
4	Vocabulary	.002415	.887130	.658003	.594975		
5	AFQT	.002940	.144006	.122838	.118233		
6	Mechanical AI	000501	.034632	000265	.046967		
7	Administrative AI	001268	016858	012554	.022187		
8	General AI	001507	000720	.055953	.023569		
9	Electronics AI	000176	051755	004160	066210		
10	Years of Education	.018581	1.461884	1.875780	1.467588		
11	AFSC	.011339	.041430	923446	-1.545004		
12	Guaranteed AFSC	.065012	5.260695	6.097409	4.936615		
13	Biology	.018911	1.995940	641055	.026345		
14	Chemistry	000899	756846	147915	.004344		
15	Algebra	.052655	255625	1.851847	1.590552		
16	General Mathematics	070627	-8.087654	-6.426204	-4.227193		
17	General Science	056952	-1.839300	-1.958085	-1.367670		
18	Geometry	.003967	2.286635	.457569	644020		
19	Physics	045994	-2.429264	-2.704744	-3.343108		
20	Anatomy/Physiology	017870	.008293	.084625	292544		
21	Age (at entry to training)	008861	.137849	.067429	165318		
22	TAFMS	.017315	1.020294	.969751	1.013875		
	Regression Constant	.573707	25.589255	22.763109	39.703969		
	Optimal cutoff score	.66	70	140	70		

Table 7. Regression Weights for 90730 Sample Model 1

			Regressi	on Weights	
Variable Number	Biographical/Aptitudinal Information Variables	Pass/Fall	Test I	Tests I & II	FSG
1	IQ	.006900	.380632	.310632	.297676
2 3	WPM	.000333	.006462	.002545	.001651
3	Comprehension	.002793	.065369	.075093	.097133
4 5	Vocabulary	004890	.927117	.720326	.561385
5	AFQT	000087	057959	019770	001250
6	Mechanical AI	.000571	.041378	.044735	.031615
7	Administrative AI	001290	.016912	.010148	.005256
8	General AI	.002018	.045914	.052902	.020532
9	Electronics AI	.000570	.075472	.065280	.052584
10	Years of Education	.010199	.841830	.622495	.201634
11	AFSC	.041613	1.808472	1.259188	.754461
12	Guaranteed AFSC	.090567	.599688	1.208848	2.409496
13	Biology	121444	805640	-1.091829	-2.120280
14	Chemistry	003059	2.398907	2.091829	1.820382
15	Algebra	.064795	-2.272336	-2.540523	-2.34294
16	General Mathematics	.194584	-2.389616	.207007	1.944302
17	General Science	.010501	.896007	-1.263062	.192486
18	Geometry	049076	2.114715	1.481743	2.52308
19	Physics	.010243	1.108961	.960286	1.325478
20	Anatomy/Physiology	.013696	.302181	1.330794	2.12293
21	Age (at entry to training)	.009566	042622	.062474	.31667
22	TAFMS	.032579	1.283275	.730403	.62554
	Regression Constant	732827	10.902887	20.469578	20.84606
	Optimal cutoff score	.62	70	140	70

Table 8. Regression Weights for 91130 Sample Model 1

Mandable		Regression Weights					
Variable Number	Biographical/Aptitudinal Information Variables	Pass/Fall	Test I	Tests I & II	FSG		
1	IQ	.004942	.339540	.299281	.233872		
2	WPM	~.000225	015677	009764	012164		
3	Comprehension	.003033	.054308	.066217	.037083		
4	Vocabulary	.006373	.335779	.072953	.327985		
5	AFQT	~.003564	081901	108845	067659		
6	Mechanical AI	.000872	.036443	.051558	.065460		
7	Administrative AI	~.000743	092979	124164	125505		
8	General AI	~.001499	.044589	.060461	006461		
9	Electronics AI	.002985	.091383	.149712	.113984		
10	Years of Education	~.013756	.518739	.211680	110429		
11	AFSC	.058448	1.789524	1.600338	1.741433		
12	Guaranteed AFSC	033454	899482	1.144463	3.538575		
13	Biology	.100398	3.676947	4.304516	5.931903		
14	Chemistry	021431	2.226087	.608380	1.580586		
15	Algebra	.023007	7.788725	5.839147	6.385092		
16	General Mathematics	.068029	-3.332660	-1.834028	894435		
17	General Science	172185	-2.147659	-4.926422	-4.633101		
18	Geometry	.076101	-3.196083	-1.955471	-1.515156		
19	Physics	034083	264955	-1.327496	-1.806437		
20	Anatomy/Physiology	.002073	3.910437	3.910437	2.972489		
21	Age (at entry to training)	002466	251879	052699	.111829		
22	TAFMS	.007603	2.596964	1.261755	1.014792		
	Regression Constant	.468969	29.583905	35.803411	46.265853		
	Optimal cutoff score	.560	70	140	70		

In order to obtain predicted scores for any student entering training, Table 9 presents a personal data sheet which lists the biographical and aptitudinal data required for the actual computation of criterion scores.

Table 10 illustrates the computation of two trainees' predicted level of achievement on Test I in Course 90730. From these data, it would appear that Trainee A is a likely candidate to receive remedial training, since his predicted score on Test I fails to reach the minimally acceptable passing score of 70. If such training is made available during the early phase of his training program, the likelihood of his becoming an eliminee might be averted. Trainee B, on the other hand, is not identified as a candidate for remedial training. His predicted score exceeds the optimal cutoff score of 70. Based on his predicted score of 90, he would be identified as a probable graduate in the 90730 medical training program. If additional evidence on an individual's potential performance

in training is desired, predicted scores for Tests I and II or final school grade may also be computed.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It appears that a predictor composite comprised of biographical and aptitudinal information can be used to identify potential failures and/or personnel requiring remedial training in medical technical training courses. The significant contribution of the commercial tests to the predictor composite warrants their being retained in the selection composite even though their use entails an additional expense to the Air Force in an operational testing program. Aptitudinal and biographical data on trainees which are available prior to entry into training can be used in conjunction with the commercial tests now being administered to trainees to improve the predictive accuracy of the selection composite.

#### Table 9. Personal Data Sheet

ALEXANDER DE L'ARRESTATION DE L'ARRESTAT	NEARLY STATES	770	desprision in the	
Name	363	- 2 9	Veciliary	
SSAN	THE .		1000	
Age		14.5	of tentral carefulation	4 4
Years of Education	William 1		The Property	
GAFSC	10-	461	213 No. 2007	101
Preferred AFSC	JR20.		7874	111
TAMFS			R-120 Million and	
Courses taken and completed in high school/college:	YES	NO		
Biology Chemistry Algebra General Mathematics General Science Geometry Physics Anatomy/Physiology		and the second	TARMS  TA	
Information from official records:  Score  AFQT Mechanical AI			cy medito of sal noble Convision didle teach also or stab health a pointill to sou	au 11 college describer top bee
Administrative AI  General AI  Electronics AI  IQ Score  WPM Score  COMP Score  VOCAB Score				

Table 10. Computation of Predicted Score on Test I for Course 90730

Variable Number	Biographical/Aptitudinal Information Variables	Traince	Traince B	Regressio n Weights
1	IQ	90	115	.380632
2	WPM	200	295	.006462
3	Comprehension	60	80	.065369
4	Vocabulary	3	6	.927117
5	AFQT	70	80	057959
5 6 7	Mechanical AI	70	70	.041378
7	Administrative AI	65	70	.016912
8	General AI	65	80	.045914
9	Electronics AI	65	85	.075472
10	Years of Education	12	13	.841830
11	AFSC	0	1	1.808472
12	Guaranteed AFSC	0	1	.599688
13	Biology	1	1	805640
14	Chemistry	0	1	2.398907
15	Algebra	1	1	-2.272336
16	General Mathematics	1	1	-2.398616
17	General Science	1	1	.896007
18	Geometry	0	1	2.114715
19	Physics	0	1	1.108961
20	Anatomy/Physiology	0	1	.302181
21	Age (at entry to training)	19	20	042622
22	TAFMS	0	0	1.283275
	Regression Constant	10.902887	10.902887	10.902887
	Predicted score	65.704915	90.758588	
	Optimal cutoff score	70.000000	70.000000	

Although this selection system could be used to identify personnel who are high-risk for elimination from the training program, it is believed that this prediction system could be used more effectively in identifying individuals who need to be placed in remedial training in an early phase of their technical training program.

It is recommended that if this selection composite should be used on an interim basis by USAF/SAM course managers, additional research should be initiated to determine the validity and stability of the selection composite on another sample.

#### REFERENCES

Bottenberg, R.A., & Ward, J.H., Jr. Applied multiple linear regression. PRL-TDR-63-6, AD-413 128. Lackland AFB, TX: Personnel Research Laboratory, Aerospace Medical Division, March 1963.

McDonald, A.S., & Zimmery, G. Appraisal of reading versatility-advanced. Huntington, NY: Educational Developmental Laboratories, Inc., 1962.

Otis, A.S., & Lennon, R.T. Otis-Lennon mental ability test. New York: Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich, Inc., 1967.

Taylor, S.E., Franchenpohl, H., & McDonald, A.S. Word clue test. Huntington, NY: Educational Development Laboratories, Inc., 1962.

# Preceding Page BLANK - FILMED

APPENDIX A: STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Table A1. Test for Homogeneity of Regression Equations

		ž			
Criterion	Putt	Restricted Model	¥	*	•
Pass/Fail	1807	.1243	9	934	1.3983
Test I	3027	.2312	\$	934	2.0800
Tests I & II	2775	.2239	46	934	1.5061
Final Average	.2648	1981	4	934	1.9266

<sup>a</sup>Full Model - separate equations by course: 90130, 90730, 91130.

<sup>b</sup>Restricted Model - common equation for all courses combined.

\*Significant at .05 level.

\*\*Significant at .01 level.

Table A2. Means and Standard Deviations

Variable Number	Variable Description	Mean S.D.	S.D.	Mean S.	S.D.	Mean S	S.D.	Mean S.	S.D.
-	IQ Score	106,1057 11,0559	11.0559.	105-7335	11.7601	1106-4114. 11-2215	11.7315	106.2184	12.0996
2	WPM Score	228-2861 67-8847	17.0047	233.0412	70.3167	230-5915	230-5915 69-8422	220.2899	41.92%
	Comprehension Score	67.6770	10.7199	67.2527	19.3189	1964-09	17.9361	47.3433	10,7930
•	Vocabulary Score	3-1147	1.8508	3.0247	1.0699	3.3262	1.0620	2.7960	1.0064
s	AFQT Score	63.7159	10.1747	62.3187	19.1083	64.5122	10.0521	69.5113	17.0492
•	Mechanical AI	57.5952	20.7527	57-1044	19.9487	54.4220	22.2046	1941.48	110.000
,	Administrative AI	59.8943	17,4508	60.3269	17.0110	. 59.9230	17.7055	. 64.3549	17.6716
•	General AI	73.2752	12.0477	72.0742	11.7380	73.3537	12-1300	74.5981	.12.2374
6	Electronics AI	64.3320 17.6865	17.4845	43.3379	17-1547	43.7195	10.2515	. 66-1915	17.5674
10	Years of Education	12.0189	1900.	12.0027	. 1043	12.067	11160	111.9071	.7930
=	AFSC	5005	.5000	.5422	.4973	.5344	.4467	*101.	.4903
12	Guaranteed AFSC	.1356	.3424	.1923	1846.	.1280	1966.	.0772	.200
13	Biology	+050·	.3566	.8626	.3442	\$140.	.3652	1946.	
=	Chemistry	9454.		**1**	.4927	4045	****	.4430	***
15	Algebra	.8335	.3725	.8269	.3783	.6232	.3018		.3550
16	General Mathematics	1626	.1432	.978n	****	1010.		.000	.1374
17	General Science	1696	1871.	5196.	.1923	.9724			.1503
18	Geometry	1569.	. 4785	.6429	.4792	.6433	04400	****	177.
19	Physics	.2104	.4074	.1978	.3983	.2134	.4047	2219	9516.
8	Anatomy/Physiology	1336	.3402	.1374	.3442	1556	.3629	1901	.3000
2	Age	20.4.09	2.2171	20.6978	2.2537	21.0000		\$0.36.05	201121
22	TAFIS	• • 305	1.2908	.6324	1.7911	••	1.3004	.7267	101101
23	Pass/Fail	2540.	- 2642 -	•120•	.2878	.0074	.2967		.3276
24	Test Grade I	74.7139 15.3072	5.3072	1201.20	14.0442	70.8649	13.7780	74.9100	17.4610
52	Final Average	. NO.7498 13.4314	3.4314	63-2527	11.0016	.00-1624	************************	70.9100	14.2947
26	Tests I and II Combined	40.1445 14.4573		49.130	11.7.11	***************************************		-	-

7able A3. Orrelation Matrix - Total Sample

	ID Some															
4		1.0000	. 4030	.406.		.5620		.4087	.6423	. 8448	.1770	***0*	.0770.	.160.	.2696	.2913
	Will Some	.4030	0000-1	1101.	.3720	-1002	1100	.2435		1340		.0201	2110		1061.	4110
	Comprehension Score'		11011	1.0000		.2423	****	1601.	.2542	.181.	-0437	\$010	0010	.031.	.0073	
	Vocabulary Score		.3720	40100	1.0000	.4077	.177	.2900	****	.380.	.2.20	1810.	\$000.	\$5.00	.1077	
	AFUT Score		2001-	.2423	***	1.0000	•	1926.		.6702	.003	1640-	.0.5.		1621.	
•	Machanical Al	.00.	11.00	\$61.	.2777	••••	1.0000	.2046	.306.	300	.1303	0338	.500.	.0242		
. 1	Administrative AI	.4087	.2436	1601.	.2980	1986.	\$602.	1-0000		.3800	.178	1290.	41400	*6.00		.220
	Consert Al	.6423		.2847	*\$64.	0.04.			1.0000	.8707	.1.0	****	.1370	06.00		.2010
•	Electronics Al		.1340	.182.	.356.	.6762		.384		1-0000	.121.	-0130	.040	1010-		.200
2	Years of Education	.1770		.0537	.2.20	.043	.1303	.175	.1961	.1210	1.0000	.000	.000	.1085	.2405	
n	AFSC	***0*		0105	.0737	1680.	0235	1400	•	• • • • •	.0440	1 - 0000	.3407	.1047	.1032	.1530
22	Gerrenteed AFSC	.0773	0117	0100	\$000	.0.2.	.500.	\$170.	.1370	.000	.000	.3407	1.0000	1.10.	.0010	
2	Biology	.1.00	1113	+160-	5560-	0464	-0242	*6.00	.0030	1010.	11086	.1047	1010-	1.0000	.2837	.2703
2	Chemistry	.24.95	.1902	.0973	.1.37	1821	5001.	.1673	.2030	.2162	.2008	.1032	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.2837	1.0000	.332
15	Algebra	.2913	2411.	*160-	.2113	**11.	.1442	.3300	-2410	.200	.100	.1530		.2703	.3320	1.0000
2	General Mathematics	.078.	1420-	**10**	** 2000	0203	0490-	0540	.0220	•110	1100.	.0767	******	1926	1001	.180
11	General Science	0201	5990-	00	.1000	0231	1000-	0143	0.00	0133	.0420	\$0.00	1000		.010-	.107
2	Geometry	.3247		.1250	.2230	.1220	1908	.2779	.3402	1708.	.2224	.00.	1040.	.2867	.4362	
61	Physics	-1637		.0340	.1213	•	.1920	\$1010	.2001	.2894	.2007	**10.	0370	.1047	.378.	.178
8	Anatomy/Physiology	••\$0•	.0473	••00•	1000	.0240	.0813	•\$10.	.0063	.0770	.18.		.0490		.2004	.0.
2		1000-	•000•	0007	.1020	028+	.0830	.090	-10017	0204	.200	0743	1300	05	.010	080
z	TAFRE	000-	.0042	0434	.000.	1173	1.10.	0202	2301	1460	100	308.	144	040	0033	
2	Pass/Fail	2845	0010	2402	2197	00211-	180	007	100	***	0757	070-	0445	**60**	0724	
2	Test Grade 1		.1703	.2295	.3597	.2000	.25.0	1771.	.2843	1.4.2.	.181.	-000		.0.0.		1712
8	Final Average	•372•		.2347	.318.	.2548	.2500		.2019	1675.	96211	\$1,00	.1340	.078.	.ije	.187
. 92	Tests I and II Combined	.403.		.2470	.1.6.	.2011	.2505	.105	.2020	.3008		0100.	***	****	11811	•

20

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Variable Number	Variable Description	•	11	-	2	2n	. 12	22	"	*2	2	2
-	10 Score	*0254	0261	.3247	-1637	* 50·	19.0	0864	2845	.4164	.3729	.4039
~	MPH Score	.020	\$990.	.1160	+650+	.0473	.000.	.0042	*1.00	.1703	.1258	.146
	Comprehension Score	**10	**000**	.1250	.0340	.000.	0007	0439	2402	.2295	.2347	.2478
•	Vocabulary Score	0248	*100	.2234	.1213	.0841	.1029	****	2197	.3597	.3159	.3410
s	AFQT Score	0203	0231	.1220		0.20.	.520	1173	1780	.2669	.2545	.2811
9	Mechanical AI	0690	10,0.	11905	.1924	.0513	.0536	\$ 10.	1.51	.2540	.2500	.2585
1	Administrative AI	0548	0143	2779	1615	*\$10.	.0488	0782	0479	.1771.	.1986	.1054
	General AI	.0224	3400	.3602	1446.	.0853	0617	2201	1407	.2593	.1.2.	.2076
•	Electronics AI		0133	.2471	.7595	0720.	0209	12000-	1.08	14.22	.2731	.3085
2	Years of Education		.042	+2224	.26.97	.1560	. 2+9+	1004	0257	.1519	.1245	.1498
=	AFSC.	.0767	-0405	.0883	**10.	.1461	0763	2084	074	-0045	\$1200	.0100
12	Guaranteed AFSC	0234	CHD7	****	0329	3290.	1300	1646	2000-	.115*	.1340	.1366
13	Biology	.1925	1513	•2557	-1047	*115*	024	*e+0	**60	.000	•0750	*****
=	Chemistry	•1055	.0589	.4267	.3784	.2004	.010	0833	0724	.1597	.138*	1816
15	Algebra	.1590	.1474	6965.	.1762	.0960	0507	1124	1275	1712	.1579	.1636
92	General Mathematics	1.0000	.5372	.1389	.0755	*150*	1523	2080	.000	2.000-		0000
11	General Science	• 5372	1.0000	.0963	*200.	.0363	0673	1034	-0305	0655	0621	0725
8	Geometry	.1389	.0963	1.000	.290R	.101.	0301	095A	1128	.1865	.1607	.1700
19	Physics	•0755	*400	-290F	1.0000	.1281	.0786	0478	0103	.0826	.0521	1170.
20	Anatomy/Physiology	*150*	.0361	.101.	.1781	1.0000	1990-	0370	0201	.0703	.070.	.0055
12	Age	1573	0873	0301	.0786	1950.	1.0000	1.0000 .4882	0154	.0730	\$950.	•050•
22	TAFRS	2080	1039	#56Q		0370	. * 882	1.0000	0177	.050.	\$410.	0.10.
23	Pass/Fail	*000	5000	112		0281	0158	******	1.0000	5417	6537	4154
*	Test Grade I	0495	10655	.1845	.9826	.0703	.0730	.050.	2145	1.0000	11.00	
52	Final Average	048	0621	.1607	1250.	.070.	.0545	-0195	6537	1160.	.4911 1.0000	144.
56	Tests I and II Combined	0600	0725	.1700	11/0.	.0855	.056	0410.	6150	. 9247		1.0000

21

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Table A4. Correlation Matrix - Course 90130

Arriber .	Variable Description	-1-	2		•	5	•	,	•	•	0.	=	12	13	•	•
	10 Score	1.0000	.4616	.3884	.6502	.5248	.4272	.4097	.5126	1115.	1696	+590.	.0733	.1219	.2063	.2340
2	WW Score	.9616	1.0000	.0748	.3604	.1929	.1733	.2718	.2464		.1094	.0320	0117	.1284	11810	1161.
•	Comprehension Score	.3864	.0748	1.0000	.3852	.2284	.1332	.1157	.2559	.2627	02000	1210.	2110.	.0878	5440.	.0327
	Vocabulary Score	.6502	.3806	.3852	1.0000	.4430	.3130	1166.	.4763	.3011	.2552	***0.	1,00.	*0.0.	1111	.100
10	AFQT Score	.5248	1920	.2284	.4430	1.0000	.4254	.3261	1496.	4614.	1260.	4590.	.1068	0416	.105	.0063
9	VI.	.4272	.1733	.1332	.3130	.4254	1.0000	1881.	.4075	. 6048	.1277	0366	•150.	.0121	.1077	.1203
1	W	.4097	.2719	.1157	1106.		1001.	1.0000	.5119	.3935	.1447	1.50.	-0312	.0147	.1903	
	General AI	.5124	.2464	.2559	.4243	1896.	. 4075	.5119	1.000	.5727	1981.		.1840	.0773	.2597	.2231
	. AI	.5111	.188.	.2627	.3811	9614.	. 6048	.3935	.5727	1.0000	1465	.0057	.16.2	*660.	.2165	.1000
0	tion	.1696	.100.	.0020	.2552	1240.	1177	1447	1961.	1465	1.0000	.086	-0062	11767	.2550	.1930
=	AFSC	.0456	.3320	.0121	***6.	•590•	0166	2000	.1144	1590.		1.0000	.3463	1382	.1639	.2160
12	Guaranteed AFSC	.0733	0117	.0117	1.00.	.106m	4150.	.0312	.1940	.1692	-000	.3693	1.0000	.0530	4.10	.1127
3	Biology	.1219	.1284	.0874	+060.	0974	.0121	1010.	1770.	.0334	.1767	.1382	.0530	1.0000	.2224	.2015
•	Chemistry	.2083	11511	56.6.		•105•	.1077	.1903	.2597	.2165	.2550	.1630	2.10	.2726	1.0000	.2020
5	Algebra	.2340	11811	.0327	.1804	.0843	.1283	.1838	.2231	.1800	.1930	.2160	1111	.2015	.2820	1.0000
91	General Mathematics	.0125	1540.	0671	2080	0377	1043	0577	.0245		4140.	.1288	021	.2668	.0862	.228
1	General Science	12001-	1770.	0359	.2170	.0153	046	.020	.065A	.100.	0308	5820.	083+	.1692	.110	.2484
8	Geometry	.306.	9560.	.1759	.2337	.0943	1152.	.2452	.336	.2269	.2279	.1244	.0542	.3022	1.1.	.51
19	Physics	.1168	.0653	.027₽	•510•	.0204	.2104	.1587	.1590	.1984	.2334	.100.	0473	.1180	.4078	
8	Anatomy/Physiology	.0572	9550.	.0237	2740.	.0274	.045	.0228	.0655	.9898	.2004	\$020.	0020.	1361.	.2472	.00
12	Age	0052	\$100.	•0535	.1856	2,500	.0787	2190.	.030.	.0474	.1854	1045	1573	1031	.0.84	1032
2	TAFIS	+0+0-	-0477	5440.	1510.	1450			1991	12200-	2194	2025	2174	0023	•500•	0488
23	Pass/Fail	2305	0625	2090	*191.	2363	0427	0179	0884	1472	0360	0770	1353	*****	02100-	0487
24	Test Grade I	4515	.1860	.2249		.3673	.2652	.1876	1612.	.2917	.1529	.0623	11770	.072.	.0797	.121.
22	Final Average	.3942	.1453	.2450	.3624	.3444	.2376	.1888	.2607	.2594	.1170	1000.	.1454	.0144	\$	0660.
.5	Tacte I and II Continue	.4298	.1734	.2518	.3904	.3674	.2387	.2028	.3107	.3187		0000	8661.	.0207	4680.	

	Desci iperon						13	,,	5	.,	63	:
	IQ Score	•0125	12000	.3058	.1168	.0572	0053	040	2305	5154.	. 3942	.4298
~	WPM Score	.0451	1220.	*560*	.0653	9550.	\$100.	.0477	0625	.1860	.1453	1736
•	Comprehension Score	0601	0354	.1759	.0278	.0237	.0535	.0465	2090	.2240	.2450	.2516
•	Vocabulary Score	0000	.0170	.2337	4510.	.0972	.1856	.0757	.161	.410	.3624	.3906
9	AFQT Score	0377	.0153	.0943	.020	.0274	.0572	1450	2363	.3673	.344	.3675
9	Mechanical AI	1063	040	1152.	.2104	.045	1870.	**10 '670.	0827	.2652	.2376	.2387
1	Administrative AI	0577	*020*	.2452	.1547	.022A	2190.	0424	0179	.1874	.100	.2020
•	General AI	•0265	*5+0·	.336	.1500	.0455	.030	1001 1000	0484	.2797	.2407	1016.
6	Electronics AI	*1*0	*100*	.2269	.1989	.089	.0474	0721	1472	.2917	.2594	.3167
2	Years of Education	2140*	030ª	.2229	.2336	.2094	.1054	-12194	0360	.1529	.117	11647
=	AFSC.	.1286	.0785	.1244	.100.	.0705	-0705 1065	2025	0720	.0423	1000.	0440.
12	Guarantaed AFSC	0219	0834	-0582	0673	.0280	1573	.0200 1573 2174		1720	1720 .1654	***
2	Biology	.2668	.1692	.3022	.110	1961.	1031	0723	0443	.0724	9410.	.0207
=	Chamistry	.0982	•110	.4141	.4078	.2472	.040.	*500.	0170	1000	5440.	.0026
15	Algebra	.2284	.2484	.5984	.1543	2040.	1032	098A	0487	.121.	0440.	.134
91	General Mathematics	1.0000	.5547	.1224	.0744	9650.	1947	0775	9160.	1043	0430	1003
11	General Science	.5547	1.0000	.1193	.9276	.070.		0453	.0555	0400	0763	00
2	Geometry	.1229	.110	1.0000	.2262	.0476	0134	0144	0427	.1943		.1655
19	Mysics	**10.	.0276	.2267	1.0000	.2026	.1125	0157	.0765	0215	9785	0280
8	Anatomy/Physiology	9450.	.070.	94.00	.2024	1.0000	.1173	.0454	.0133	.0413	.0170	1560.
12	*	1947		0134	.1125	.1173	.1173 1.0000	.4792	.0372	\$660.	.0555	.0007
2	TAFIS	0775	0423	0344	0157	.0454	.0454 .4792	1.0000	.0030	1660.	.0250	.000
2	Pass/Fail	91,00	5550.	0827	-0765	.0133	.0372	•0030	1.000	5391	*****	6230
2	Test Grade I	1043	0890	.1943	0215	.0413	5660.	1660.	1615	1.0000	.940	\$404.
22	Final Average	0430	0763	11184	0785	0210.	.0555	.0250	6586		1.0000	. **22
92	Tests I and II Combined	1003	0848	.1655	0280	1540.	.0887	.000	6236	\$404.	. **22	1.0000

Table A5. Correlation Matrix - Course 90730

ariable Number	Variable Description	-	2	•	,	5		,		•	01	•=	21	2	•	91
-	IQ Score	1.0000	.3259	.4227	4049.	.5730	.3962	.3974	.195.	.5679	.1832	.0403	.0854	.0200	.2657	.2072
2	WPM Score	.3259	1.0000	.084	.3111	9.60.	.0233	.2389	.1872	1950-	.1286	9810.	0359	.000	.124	.0710
	Comprehension Score	.4227	***00*	1.0000	. 4584	.201e	.1829		.2440	1697.	8420.	0158	0462	0423	.0953	.0979
4	Vocabulary Score	5019.	.3111	.4584	1.0000	.3353	.2012	.2772	. *037	0567.	.2340	5+10.	4500.	.065*	.205.	.1765
2	AFQT Score	.5730	.0946	.2616	.3153	1.0000	.5211	.106.	.5221	.7233	.1055	.046	0200			.101.
9	Mechanical AI	.3982	.0233	.1829	.2012	.5411	1.0000	.1822	.3769	.7037	1461-	9160	0958	0435	.1024	.140
1	Administrative AI	.3974	.2380	**11.	.2772	.106.	.1822	1.0000	41417	.3176	5141.	.1065	***0.	.110	****	
8	General AI	.5610	.1872	.2440	.4037	1275.	.3769	.4917	1.0000	.5788	.2353	.1259	.0746	.1303	.2942	.2533
6	Electronics AI	.5679	1950.	.2631	.2950	.7233	.7037	.3176	.5788	1.0000	*000.	-1001-	0231	0442	.2220	.1973
10	Years of Education	.1832	.1286	.0748	.2340	.1055	1961.	1915	.2353	.080.	1.0000	1450.	******	.1017	.2736	.202
11	AFSC	.0603	.0189	9510	.0745	.0464	-160	1045	.1259	•100	1450.	1.0000	.3561	.1323	.1176	1302
12	Guaranteed AFSC	.085	0359	0482	.0359	0200	*****	****	9640.	0231	0034	1956.	1.0000	.160.		.105
13	Biology	•0500	.040.	0423	.0454	0473	0435		.1303	2+10	.1817	.1323	.1.0.	1.0000	.3043	.3020
7	Chemistry	.2657	.1248	.0953	.205.	.1.34	.1826	*****	.2942	.2226	.2735	.1175	.0.10	.3043	1.0000	.3762
15	Algebra	.2672	.0710	.0979	11765	.1079	.1490	.2327	.2533	.1973	.2028	.1302	.105	.3020	.3752	1.0000
16	General Mathematics	0380	.010.	0100	0879	*****	01500-		5640.	.010	-1002	.116	***0.	.2025	.1450	. 2080
11	General Science	0546	*0.05	0045	0204	000	5010.	000	.000	000+1	.0913	.0485		.2337	.0824	
18	Geometry	.3042	.1185	.1022	.1586	.1540	.2000	.3221	.365	.2703	.2086	.0993	****	.2442	1001	.6224
19	Physics	.180	0055	50000-	.1372	.1287	.1463	.174	.3375	.2465	.2317	1150.	1620-	.1242	.186.	.221
20	Anatomy/Physiology	.0280	8910.	0132	1950.	0285	0575	5410	.0652	.200.	.1482	.2132	.0422	.1402		
12	Age		0728	3420	1920.	0720	10000	.010.	1072	0731	.2952	1400		0525	0520	.100
22	TAFMS	1291	0.000-	1000	1.0547	1482	5190.	1200	204	1132	0102	2780			101	•
23	Pass/Fail		0.11	2850	2680	2305	2137	1176		2192	1217	0453		***	*****	-
24	Test Grade I	.5550	.2117	.3105	. 4563	.3410	.3232	.2857	.3942	.3933	. 2004	.0725	55.0.	.0330	.2440	.174
52	Final Average	. 5493	1991	.3584	.4321	.3523	.3210	.2589	.3671		.1850	.0700	1580.	004	. 256.	
92	Tests I and II Combined	.5083	.1673	.3420	.4554	.306.	5466.	.2777	4124.	1261.	.2077	.0787	.0414	0.10.	.2020	

- 0 . 4 . 0 . 4	IQ Score	-										
		0380	0546	.3042	.1804	.0200	0984	1201	3850	.5558	.5493	. 5483
w 4 m 0 r a	WPM Score	*910*	*040	.1105	0055	.0168	0728	0530	1440	.2117	1591.	.1873
4 10 10 10 10	Comprehension Score	••0010	0045	.1022	-,0005	0332	0450	-1084	2864	.3165	.3504	.3420
w ~ ~ «	Vocabulary Score	0079	0208	.1586	.1372	1950.	.0251	4.0547	2686	.4543	.4321	.4554
9 ~ 0	AFQT Score	0446	*090*	.1540	.1287	0285	0724	1282	2302	.3210	.3523	.3684
- «	Mechanical AI	0510	.0165	.2000	.1463	0575	1000	\$190.	2137	.3232	.3210	3446.
a	Administrative AI	**0**	0040	1226.	1746	5.10	.0143	0021	1174	.2057	.2500	.2777
	General AI	.0445	• 0000	.305.	.3375	.0.52	1072	2000	2434	.3942	.3671	.4215
6	Electronics AI	010+	0047	.2703	.2465	.005	0731	1132	2612			-432
10	Years of Education	•1002	.0913	.2006	.2317	.1482	.2952	0102	1217	-502-	.1050	.2077
=	AFSC	•110	.0485	.0993	.0513	-2132	1400	2780	0453	.0725	.0700	1010.
12 6	Guaranteed AFSC	•050•	0473	****	.0231	.0422	1430	*****	0483	.045	1500.	.041
13 6	Biology	+2925	.2337	.2692	.1242	.1402	0825	1251	*****	.033	**00**	0410.
*	Chemistry	.1460	.0529	1004.	.3519		0820	104	00	.2000	.2669	.2020
15	Algebra	•2000	.1667	.6224	.2219	•••••	-1004	-11330	-1110	.1747	.1430	.180
9 91	General Mathematics	1.0000	•620	.1543	.076	.0.3	1231		0226	080	017	043
9 41	General Science	•620	1.0000	1390.	0034	•070•	4160	130	0077	0325	0205	
18	Geometry	.1543	1490.	1.0000	.3257	1950.	1250*-	1401	076+	.2560	.240	.2466
19	Physics	•940•	0034	.3267	1.0000	.0645	.0200	1500	0710	.1.50	.100	.200
20	Anatomy/Physiology	.0634	•0500	1950.	5+00.	1.0000	.0463	5900	0377	.0474		.0777
1 12	Age	1621	.160	1.500-	.0200	.0453	1.0000	.5136	0736	.026	.040.	1410.
7 22	TAFIES	3117	1298	10+1	1580	5980	.\$136	1.0000	1.00		001.	0115
23	Pass/Fail	0225	0077	0769	0710	0477		07380641	1.0000	11/4	.145	-109
24 T	Test Grade I	***	0325	.2550	.1929	.0.74	.0248	.0334	000001 1176	1.0000	.6573	
25	Final Average	9210.	0282	.2608	.1980	.0034	.0482	001	*125		1.0000	001.
7 92	Tests I and II Combined	0431	0479	.2455	.2008	.0777	.0171	0112	-105	.924		1.0000

Table A6. Correlation Matrix - Course 91130

Variable	Description		. 2	•	•	9	•		•	•	2	=	13	7	•	2
-	IQ Score	1 • 0000	.4302	4114.	.6793	.6029	.4062	.4117	.5575	.5604	.1032	.0006	*160°	-129L	+110	3986
2	MPH Score	.4302	1.0000	.1562	.4357	.2428	1000	.2023	.2352	.1630	.1105	0028	0226	.1055	.1834	.1763
6	Comprehension Score	+114.	.1562	1.0000	.4098	.3037	.2072.	.0777	.2013	.2326		0430	0021	.0443	.0925	.1632
•	Vocabulary Score	.6793	.4357	8604.	1.0000	. 4431	.3424	.3197	\$144.	.4170	.2309	.0950	.0739	.1175	2801	.3001
s	AFQT Score	. 6029	.2428	.3037	1644.	1.9000	.4593	.3600	2445.	.7120	1260.	+190-	1040.	0420		.1407
9	Mechanical AI	. 4062	1000.	.2072	.3424	.4593	1.0000	.2587	.371.1	.6733	.1342	.0953	1.00.	.1263	.2245	.1532
1	Administrative AI	.4117	.2823	.0777	1916.	.3486	.2587	1.0000	.450	.3612	.1945	7160.	.0950	1507	.2016	.2021
00	General AI	.5575	.2352	.2013	\$144.	.5492	1176.	. 4508	0000-1	.5534	.100	1975	.1670	.0769	.3256	.376
6	Electronics AI	.540*	.1030	,2328	.4170	.7120	.5933	.3612	.5536	1.0000	.1510	0100	91110	.0734	.2077	.2615
00	Years of Education	.1832	.1165	.0960	.2309	1260.	.1362	.1985	.100	0151.	1.0000	.1372	.0350	19021	.2506	.2100
=	AFSC	***************************************	0028	0630	.0950	.0616	.0953	.0317	1475	0010	.1372	1.0000	.3262	*1.0.	.0279	
12	Guaranteed AFSC	+140.	0220	.0021	.0739	1040.	1440.	.0958	.1670	.110	.0350	.3282	1.0000	0766	034	.00
. 13	Biology	. 1621 .	.1055	.0443	51119	0240	.1253	.1507	.440.	.0734	.2041	9160.	076-	1.0000	.2361	.2231
*	Chemistry	.3394	.1534	.0925	.2801	.1163	.2245	.2016	.3254	.2077	.2506	.0279	0264	.2341	1.0000	.3504
15	Algebra	.3065	1753	.1632	1006.	.1607	.1532	.2021	.3706	.2515	.2100		5980.	.2231	.3504	1.0000
16	General Nathematics	.1080	*0252	1000	.0254	1760.	046	0500	0145	.0224	.1155	0301	134	• • • •	.000	.000
11	General Science	0323	0160.	.0204	0003	0487	-, 1031	1490-	1132	0473	4460.	0328	1052	06.00	0150	.0467
81	Geometry	.306.	1471	.00.70	.2059	.1260	.1007	.248		.2454	.2420	.030	.0062			.\$472
19	Physics	.2898	.1144	.0749	.2280	.2050	.2240	.1530	.2484	.3377	.1549	1063	0384	.0702	.1733	1781.
20	Anatomy/Physiology	***0.	.0633	.0206	.104	1040.	1.41.	.0400	.147	.170	\$600.	.1434	1381	\$000	•	
12	Age	5640	.0650	+500	1090	005	.1118	.040.	1077	0227	.2732	2110	0774	.1017	•1000	.0410
22	TAFHS	0446	.900.	0927	015		.0050	****	2550	0975	*****	•	004	0008	1744	
23	Pass/Fail	2467	1+50	2350	2054	0643	1840	*190	1362	780	0082	0455	0343	1667	1102	
54	Test Grade I	.308	.0877	.1827	.2540	•	.2241	.0747	.1950	.2254	1072	1950.	1000	.150	.177.	. 240
52	Final Average	.2549	.0564	.1553	.214	1375	.2369	.0340		.2212	.T.0.	.0940	.1053	.1062	.1679	. 2304
36																

Number	Description	16	1.1	1.1	61	21)	=	7,7	23	24	25	3.0
-	IQ Score	.1088	0323	.3666	.2898	.0844	0495	9460	2487	.3089	.2569	.2868
2	MPM Score	.0252	.0410	1741.	.1144	.0033	.0658	.000	1.0541	.0877	.0564	.0745
8	Comprehension Score	1060.	.0204	.0870	4470.	.0204	0354	0927	2350	.1027	.1553	.100
4	Vocabulary Score	.0256	0003	.2859	.2280	.1046	1090.	9510	2059	.2540	.2149	.2266
2	AFQT Score	1750.	0487	.1260	.2050	1040.	0856	4590	0843	.166	.1375	.141.
9	Mechanical AI	0460	1031	.1087	.2248	.1941	.1115	.0050	1040	.2241	.2369	.2391
1	Administrative AI	0580	1600	.2688	.1530	.0480	.0.8	0466	+190	.0767	.0340	1440.
80	General AI	0142	1132	.3634	.2484	.1478	1077	2558	1362	.1950	•	.2040
6	Electronics AI	.0224	0473	.2454	.3377	•1704	0227	0975	1780	.2254	.2212	1442.
10	Years of Education	9911.	1660.	.2428	.1549	.0845	.2732	000-	0682	.1072	.140.	16.0.
=	AFSC	0281	0325	.0386	1063	.1434	0112	*1111-	0455	1950.	0940-	11100
12	Guaranteed AFSC	1346	1052	. 0862	0384	.1351	0774	4900	0343	.0301	.1053	.070.
13	Biology	• 00 •	.0430	.1898	.0782	.0405	.1017	0085	1667	.1508		.1487
•	Chemistry	+0834	0120	.4659	.3733	•1•1•	.001	1744	1102	.1774	.1579	.1404
15	Algebra	+100.	.0467	.5672	11571	1411.	.0418	0473	1764	.2480	.2304	.219
16	General Mathematics	1.0000	.4202	6141.	4440.	.0403	1312	2525	01900440	044	0330	0335
11	General Science	. 4202	1.0000	5640.	0110	0100	0200	0040*-	+0+0+	.0406 0555	045	11/0
18	Geometry	01610	.0935	1.0000	.3274	.2312	0195		1786	.137	.1342	14211
19	Physics	4+20.	0110	.3274	1.0000	.0924	.107	+0+0	0574	.1043	.0444	.0794
20	Anatomy/Physiology	.0483	0100	.2312	.0924	1.0000	0384	0980	004	1008	.1203	.1263
21	Age	2.1312	0206	5410	.1074	+.0384	1.0000	.4584	.0020	.040	.0517	.0324
22	TAFINS	2525	0900	1198	040	000	.4504	1.0000	.0200	.1010	.0304	.0270
23	Pass/Fail	0610-	*0*0*	1786	+.0574	0.4	.0020	.0200	1.0000-1	5999	7107	
54	Test Grade I	***0	0555	.1379	.1043	.1095	.0405	.1010	5944 1.0000	1.0000	.9051	\$454.
52	Final Average	0338	0656	.1342	***0.	. 1203	.0517	.010.	7107	1504.	1.0000	1004.
,	Total I and II Continue											